

# Partnership Communication Decision Making Workbook - Perform Under Pressure

Partnership Communication | Perform Under Pressure | Asset ID CRIC-24-04-06

**Purpose:** This original printable decision making workbook helps players, coaches, clubs, schools and families work with partnership communication while pursuing one defined outcome: preserve routine when score, time or consequence changes. Its method is to read the situation, name options, choose and review the consequence. Use the pages as a working record, not as a substitute for current competition rules, safe facilities, suitable equipment and qualified coaching or health guidance where those are needed.

## Resource Map

The subject focus is to use early calls, role clarity and calm resets between players. The six working areas below define the scope for this specific resource. Complete only the rows that matter to the present practice block, and date any observation that may change.

| # | Working area         | Current evidence                | Next check |
|---|----------------------|---------------------------------|------------|
| 1 | Call Ownership       | Known / estimated / not checked | _____      |
| 2 | Yes-No-Wait Language | Known / estimated / not checked | _____      |
| 3 | Backing Up           | Known / estimated / not checked | _____      |
| 4 | Mid-Pitch Turn       | Known / estimated / not checked | _____      |
| 5 | Between-Over Plan    | Known / estimated / not checked | _____      |
| 6 | Error Recovery       | Known / estimated / not checked | _____      |

## Robustness Starting Brief

- Anchor: Which evidence separates between-over plan from error recovery in this case? Anchor the test with a clear baseline and intended use.
- Vary: How does call ownership change the interpretation of yes-no-wait language? Vary one meaningful condition or perspective.
- Observe: What boundary on backing up must be checked before relying on mid-pitch turn? Observe what changes and what remains stable.
- Protect: Which observation links error recovery to backing up, and what remains uncertain? Protect the essential boundary while testing alternatives.
- Evaluate: What alternative explanation could account for both yes-no-wait language and between-over plan? Evaluate whether the method still serves its purpose.
- Edition success means: complete the scenario without abandoning the agreed process

## Decision Making Workbook

This format is designed to improve choices by linking ball, field and match information. For partnership communication, apply the method in a deliberate sequence: read the situation, name options, choose and review the consequence. The edition target is perform under pressure, so each entry should show what was noticed, what was tried and what changed.

| Working step                 | What to record                                                                                  | Evidence or source         | Status         |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------|
| Anchor Between-Over Plan     | Which evidence separates between-over plan from error recovery in this case?                    | Anchor case / date         | Open / checked |
| Vary Error Recovery          | How does call ownership change the interpretation of yes-no-wait language?                      | Challenge condition / date | Open / checked |
| Observe Call Ownership       | What boundary on backing up must be checked before relying on mid-pitch turn?                   | Response / date            | Open / checked |
| Protect Yes-No-Wait Language | Which observation links error recovery to backing up, and what remains uncertain?               | Invariant / date           | Open / checked |
| Evaluate Backing Up          | What alternative explanation could account for both yes-no-wait language and between-over plan? | Fitness review / date      | Open / checked |
| Adapt Mid-Pitch Turn         | What next check on mid-pitch turn would make the record about call ownership more useful?       | Robustness decision / date | Open / checked |

### Completion Standard

- Keep the work tied to partnership communication, not to a vague general intention.
- Apply this adjustment: add one pressure condition only after a stable baseline
- What remains dependable when one meaningful condition changes?
- Expose this outcome risk if it appears: the baseline is weak.
- Use original notes and explanations; quote only when necessary, lawful and properly attributed.
- Ask a qualified coach, safeguarding lead or health professional to challenge one material assumption where appropriate.
- Close with one decision and one follow-up rather than an unranked list of ideas.

### Working Note

Edition CRIC-24-04-06 pairs decision making workbook with the outcome 'Perform Under Pressure'. That pairing is the boundary for this workbook: material that does not help that outcome belongs in a separate record.

## Analysis x Robustness Focused Practice Lab

This lab pairs a analysis method with a robustness outcome lens. Work through the six actions to turn the subject focus - use early calls, role clarity and calm resets between players - into evidence for perform under pressure. Preserve any gap that prevents a supported conclusion.

| # | Action                                   | Constraint                                                                                                                                            | Record                         |
|---|------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 1 | Question / Anchor call ownership         | Which evidence separates between-over plan from error recovery in this case? Anchor the test with a clear baseline and intended use.                  | Question / Anchor case         |
| 2 | Measure / Vary yes-no-wait language      | How does call ownership change the interpretation of yes-no-wait language? Vary one meaningful condition or perspective.                              | Baseline / Challenge condition |
| 3 | Analyse / Observe backing up             | What boundary on backing up must be checked before relying on mid-pitch turn? Observe what changes and what remains stable.                           | Pattern / Response             |
| 4 | Contrast / Protect mid-pitch turn        | Which observation links error recovery to backing up, and what remains uncertain? Protect the essential boundary while testing alternatives.          | Alternative / Invariant        |
| 5 | Stress-test / Evaluate between-over plan | What alternative explanation could account for both yes-no-wait language and between-over plan? Evaluate whether the method still serves its purpose. | Stress test / Fitness review   |
| 6 | Qualify / Adapt error recovery           | What next check on mid-pitch turn would make the record about call ownership more useful? Adapt the plan without overstating the result.              | Decision / Robustness decision |

### Common Failure Modes

- For call ownership, watch for the question is too broad together with the baseline is weak.
- For yes-no-wait language, watch for the baseline is not comparable together with too many conditions vary.
- For backing up, watch for one result is an outlier together with the response is selectively recorded.
- For mid-pitch turn, watch for correlation is mistaken for cause together with an essential boundary is sacrificed.
- For between-over plan, watch for the alternative fits equally well together with fitness is judged by preference.

### Independent Review Question

Format question: Which alternative explanation best challenges the current conclusion? Outcome question: What remains dependable when one meaningful condition changes? Ask a qualified coach, safeguarding lead or health professional where appropriate, then answer before the next practice block: \_\_\_\_\_

## Cricket Practice Plan for Perform Under Pressure

Use one defined practice block to test the outcome rather than attempting every possible task. This edition pairs analysis with robustness to pursue this objective: preserve routine when score, time or consequence changes. Preserve the starting condition and both evidence trails.

| Plan field               | Entry                                                   |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|
| Purpose                  | Perform Under Pressure                                  |
| Starting condition       | _____                                                   |
| Chosen working area      | _____                                                   |
| Invariant                | add one pressure condition only after a stable baseline |
| Evidence to capture      | _____                                                   |
| Stop or review condition | _____                                                   |

### Thirty Minute Practice Shape

| Stage     | Action                                                                                                                                        | Evidence                       |
|-----------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 0-5 min   | Which evidence separates between-over plan from error recovery in this case? Define the exact analytical question.                            | Question / Anchor case         |
| 5-10 min  | How does call ownership change the interpretation of yes-no-wait language? Capture a comparable baseline.                                     | Baseline / Challenge condition |
| 10-18 min | What boundary on backing up must be checked before relying on mid-pitch turn? Examine the strongest pattern.                                  | Pattern / Response             |
| 18-24 min | Which observation links error recovery to backing up, and what remains uncertain? Test an alternative explanation.                            | Alternative / Invariant        |
| 24-27 min | What alternative explanation could account for both yes-no-wait language and between-over plan? Check the result under one changed condition. | Stress test / Fitness review   |
| 27-30 min | What next check on mid-pitch turn would make the record about call ownership more useful? State a bounded conclusion.                         | Decision / Robustness decision |

### Analysis Pass Log

| Analysis Pass | Condition      | Observation | Decision              |
|---------------|----------------|-------------|-----------------------|
| 1             | Same / changed | _____       | Keep / change / check |
| 2             | Same / changed | _____       | Keep / change / check |
| 3             | Same / changed | _____       | Keep / change / check |
| 4             | Same / changed | _____       | Keep / change / check |
| 5             | Same / changed | _____       | Keep / change / check |

## Analytical Claim Test - Robustness Lens

This comparison tests partnership communication against perform under pressure through two independent questions. Format: Which alternative explanation best challenges the current conclusion? Outcome: What remains dependable when one meaningful condition changes? Record material setting differences instead of averaging them away.

| Evidence area        | Baseline | Alternative | Interpretation prompt                                                                           |
|----------------------|----------|-------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Between-Over Plan    | _____    | _____       | Which evidence separates between-over plan from error recovery in this case?                    |
| Error Recovery       | _____    | _____       | How does call ownership change the interpretation of yes-no-wait language?                      |
| Call Ownership       | _____    | _____       | What boundary on backing up must be checked before relying on mid-pitch turn?                   |
| Yes-No-Wait Language | _____    | _____       | Which observation links error recovery to backing up, and what remains uncertain?               |
| Backing Up           | _____    | _____       | What alternative explanation could account for both yes-no-wait language and between-over plan? |
| Mid-Pitch Turn       | _____    | _____       | What next check on mid-pitch turn would make the record about call ownership more useful?       |

### Format Decision Test

| Field                          | Record                                                                |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Review question                | Which alternative explanation best challenges the current conclusion? |
| Outcome question               | What remains dependable when one meaningful condition changes?        |
| Acceptance lens                | complete the scenario without abandoning the agreed process           |
| Conditions held steady         | _____                                                                 |
| Evidence supporting the choice | _____                                                                 |
| Evidence against the choice    | _____                                                                 |

### Analysis Confidence Check

- Anchor case: what was actually seen, heard, measured or read?
- Format evidence: which record best demonstrates questions bounded?
- Outcome evidence: which record best demonstrates anchor cases recorded?
- Challenge: could fitness is judged by preference explain the result?
- Subject boundary: what does this comparison leave unresolved about between-over plan?

## Analysis x Robustness Scenario Cards

Use these paired scenarios to rehearse judgement for perform under pressure. Each card joins a analysis failure mode to an outcome-specific risk. State what remains open and which evidence named in the final column should be collected next.

| # | Situation                                                | Review question                                                                                 | Decision            |
|---|----------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|
| 1 | Between-Over Plan: the question is too broad.            | Which evidence separates between-over plan from error recovery in this case?                    | Anchor case         |
| 2 | Error Recovery: the baseline is not comparable.          | How does call ownership change the interpretation of yes-no-wait language?                      | Challenge condition |
| 3 | Call Ownership: one result is an outlier.                | What boundary on backing up must be checked before relying on mid-pitch turn?                   | Response            |
| 4 | Yes-No-Wait Language: correlation is mistaken for cause. | Which observation links error recovery to backing up, and what remains uncertain?               | Invariant           |
| 5 | Backing Up: the alternative fits equally well.           | What alternative explanation could account for both yes-no-wait language and between-over plan? | Fitness review      |
| 6 | Mid-Pitch Turn: the conclusion overreaches.              | What next check on mid-pitch turn would make the record about call ownership more useful?       | Robustness decision |

## Decision Record

| Field                  | Notes                                                          |
|------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|
| Chosen format scenario | _____                                                          |
| Outcome lens           | What remains dependable when one meaningful condition changes? |
| Evidence available     | _____                                                          |
| Evidence missing       | _____                                                          |
| Controlled next step   | _____                                                          |
| Person / review date   | _____                                                          |

## Eight Analysis and Robustness Evidence Tracker

Track perform under pressure across eight practice blocks using the analysis method and the robustness outcome lens. Keep conditions visible and use this review question: What remains dependable when one meaningful condition changes?

| # | Focus                | Condition | Result | Next action |
|---|----------------------|-----------|--------|-------------|
| 1 | Error Recovery       | _____     | _____  | _____       |
| 2 | Call Ownership       | _____     | _____  | _____       |
| 3 | Yes-No-Wait Language | _____     | _____  | _____       |
| 4 | Backing Up           | _____     | _____  | _____       |
| 5 | Mid-Pitch Turn       | _____     | _____  | _____       |
| 6 | Between-Over Plan    | _____     | _____  | _____       |
| 7 | Error Recovery       | _____     | _____  | _____       |
| 8 | Call Ownership       | _____     | _____  | _____       |

### Analysis Evidence Dashboard

| Measure                                                         | Baseline | Current | Evidence location |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------|----------|---------|-------------------|
| Call Ownership: Questions Bounded / Anchor Cases Recorded       | _____    | _____   | _____             |
| Yes-No-Wait Language: Baselines Captured / Conditions Varied    | _____    | _____   | _____             |
| Backing Up: Patterns Tested / Responses Observed                | _____    | _____   | _____             |
| Mid-Pitch Turn: Alternatives Considered / Boundaries Protected  | _____    | _____   | _____             |
| Between-Over Plan: Limitations Stated / Fitness Tests Completed | _____    | _____   | _____             |
| Error Recovery: Conclusions Qualified / Adaptations Justified   | _____    | _____   | _____             |

### Interpret the Trend

- I changed one meaningful condition while protecting the essential boundary.
- I limited the conclusion to the conditions that were actually tested.
- Keep the method only when the evidence for perform under pressure improves without hiding fitness is judged by preference.
- Which evidence separates between-over plan from error recovery in this case?
- Use a qualified coach, safeguarding lead or health professional when the evidence crosses a safety, rights, health or authority boundary.

## Analysis x Robustness Next Step Plan

Finish by turning the paired format and outcome record into one owned action. For this decision making workbook, the intended result was perform under pressure; the outcome test is: What remains dependable when one meaningful condition changes? The close-out standard remains: complete the scenario without abandoning the agreed process.

| Close-out field      | Record                             |
|----------------------|------------------------------------|
| Most useful evidence | _____                              |
| Important limitation | _____                              |
| Decision             | Keep / adapt / pause / seek review |
| Next action          | _____                              |
| Owner and date       | _____                              |
| Evidence location    | _____                              |

### Completion Review

- Subject check: Which evidence separates between-over plan from error recovery in this case?
- Subject check: How does call ownership change the interpretation of yes-no-wait language?
- Format check: I tested at least one credible alternative explanation.
- Outcome check: I changed one meaningful condition while protecting the essential boundary.
- I used a progressive warm-up and did not ignore pain, unsafe surfaces, heat or fatigue.
- I kept the drill generic and did not imply affiliation with a player, team, league or governing body.

### Originality and Responsible Use

Original independent resource. This general practice, observation and review aid was written specifically for asset CRIC-24-04-06. It does not reproduce a book passage, manual, official form, commercial question bank, branded training plan, broadcast, photograph, logo, artwork, map, proprietary diagram or third-party worksheet. Any user-added quotation, image, question or source material remains the user's responsibility and may require attribution or permission.

Educational use only. Verify current facts, rules, competition requirements, local regulations, health and safety conditions with authoritative sources and a suitably qualified person where consequences matter. This workbook does not grant approval, certification, legal rights or permission to use third-party material.